

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,593	06/24/2003	Koji Naoe	Q76204	1475
23373 75	590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1762	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/14/2004	ć

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/601,593	NAOE, KOJI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Alain L. Bashore	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
Period for Reply	•	·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the properties of the provision of the maximum statutory perions.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the properties of the properties of the provision of th	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subjected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification of the correction of the	rawn from consideration.  I/or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b)  objected to by the Ine drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the □	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/601,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1-3, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sueyoshi et al (with translated portion of abstract and constitution provided) in view of Ogawa et al.

Sueyoshi et al discloses a method for producing a magnetic recording medium having a nonmagnetic substrate coated with a magnetic coating material containing a ferromagnetic powder and a binder. A ferromagnetic powder and solvent is disclosed as initially separate from a binder (see translated portions provided).

There is not explicitly disclosed (in the translated portions provided) the use of ultrasonic wave being applied after mixing liquid A and solution B.

Ogawa et al discloses ultrasonic mixing (col 15, lines 15-22).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include ultrasonic mixing because Ogawa et al teaches functional equivalence to other types of mixing (col 15, lines 15-22).

3. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sueyoshi et al in view of Ogawa et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Ikeuchi et al.

Sueyoshi et al and Ogawa et al do not disclose needle particle dimensions as claimed in claims 4 and 7.

Ikeuchi et al discloses needle particle dimensions as claimed in claims 4 and 7 (para 0081).

It would have been obvious tone with ordinary skill in the art to include needle particle dimensions as claimed in claims 4 and 7 because Ikeuchi et al teaches advantages of needle particle use (para 0081).

4. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sueyoshi et al in view of Ogawa et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Akashi et al

Sueyoshi et al and Ogawa et al do not disclose plate particle dimensions as claimed in claims 5 and 8.

Akashi et al discloses plate particle dimensions as claimed in claims 5 and 8 (col 3, lines 24-40).

It would have been obvious tone with ordinary skill in the art to include plate particle dimensions as claimed in claims 5 and 8 because Akashi teaches advantages for using plate type particles (col 1, lines 15-39).

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10-20-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Since Sueyoshi teaches: "to allow fine powders to be well dispersed", there is motivation to utilize any of the equivalent techniques as described the secondary reference.

Because Ikeuchi et al teaches advantages of needle particle use, one with ordinary skill in the art would utilze such size to the primary reference.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date

Application/Control Number: 10/601,593 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aláin L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762